UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/529,128 | 06/30/2000 | GRAHAM FRANCOIS DUIRS | 42341-350041 | 2910 |
| 23370 JOHN S. PRAT | 7590 10/09/200 TT, ESO | EXAMINER | | |
| | STOCKTON, LLP | GILBERT, ANDREW M | | |
| ATLANTA, GA | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3767 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 10/09/2008 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|-------------------|-------------------|--|--|
| 09/529,128 | DUIRS, GRAHAM FRA | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| ANDREW M. GILBERT | 3767 | | |

| | AND NEW W. GIEBERT | 0707 | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the | correspondence address | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 25 September 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THI | IS APPLICATION IN CONDITION F | FOR ALLOWANCE. | | | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apple for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance | t, or other evidence, which places the with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request | | | |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing | g date of the final rejection. | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (| ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE | g date of the final rejection. | | | |
| MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing data. | of the fee. The appropriate extension fee nally set in the final Office action; or (2) as | | | |
| 2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp | pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be | filed within two months of the date of | | | |
| filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a | | | |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, l (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo | nsideration and/or search (see NO | | | | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or | tter form for appeal by materially re | | | | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1. | | mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324). | | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) | | Const. Clad announders of a second constitution | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) | | | | | |
| how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1.3-5.8.9.11-14 and 17-21. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | The entered and an explanation of | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea | al and/or appellant fails to provide a | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | on of the status of the claims after e | ntry is below or attached. | | | |
| 11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicant's amendments to the claims raise new issues requiring new search and consideration. 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | | | | |
| 13. Other: | , | | | | |
| /Kevin C. Sirmons/ | /Andrew M Gilbert/ | | | | |
| Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3767 | Examiner, Art Unit 3767 | | | | |



Application No.